

## Teacher Performance Evaluation Rubric

The *Teacher Performance Evaluation Rubric* is intended to be scored holistically. This means that evaluators will assess which level provides the best *overall* description of the teacher. The scoring process is expected to occur upon completion of each thirty (30) minute observation and post-conference. The evaluator is to consider evidence gathered during the pre-observation conference, the observation, the post-observation conference, and classroom walkthroughs (if applicable). When completing the performance rubric, please note that evaluators are not expected to gather evidence on all indicators for each observation cycle. Likewise, teachers should not be required to submit additional pieces of evidence to address all indicators. The professionalism section of the rubric may use evidence collected during the pre-observation and post-observation conferences as well as information from the Professional Growth and/or Improvement Plan (if applicable).

INSTRUCTIONAL PLANNING		Ineffective	Developing	Proficient	Accomplished
INSTRUCTIONAL PLANNING	<b>FOCUS FOR LEARNING (Standard 4: Instruction)</b>  <i>Sources of Evidence:</i> Pre-Conference	The teacher does not demonstrate a clear focus for student learning. Learning objectives are too general to guide lesson planning and are inappropriate for the students, and/or do not reference the Ohio standards.	The teacher communicates a focus for student learning, develops learning objectives that are appropriate for students and reference the Ohio standards but do not include measurable goals.	The teacher demonstrates a focus for student learning, with appropriate learning objectives that include measurable goal(s) for student learning aligned with the Ohio standards. The teacher demonstrates the importance of the goal and its appropriateness for students.	The teacher establishes challenging and measurable goal(s) for student learning that aligns with the Ohio standards and reflect a range of student learner needs. The teacher demonstrates how the goal(s) fit into the broader unit, course, and school goals for content learning and skills.
	Evidence				
	<b>ASSESSMENT DATA (Standard 3: Assessment)</b>  <i>Sources of Evidence:</i> Pre-Conference	<p>The teacher does not plan for the assessment of student learning or does not analyze student learning data to inform lesson plans.</p> <p>The teacher does not use or only uses one measure of student performance.</p>	<p>The teacher explains the characteristics, uses, and limitations of various diagnostic, formative, and summative assessments but does not consistently incorporate this knowledge into lesson planning.</p> <p>The teacher uses more than one measure of student performance but does not appropriately vary assessment approaches, or the teacher may have difficulty analyzing data to effectively inform instructional planning and delivery.</p>	<p>The teacher demonstrates an understanding that assessment is a means of evaluating and supporting student learning through effectively incorporating diagnostic, formative, and/or summative assessments into lesson planning.</p> <p>The teacher employs a variety of formal and informal assessment techniques to collect evidence of students' knowledge and skills and analyzes data to effectively inform instructional planning and delivery.</p>	<p>The teacher purposefully plans assessments and differentiates assessment choices to match the full range of student needs, abilities, and learning styles, incorporating a range of appropriate diagnostic, formative, and summative assessments into lesson plans.</p> <p>Student learning needs are accurately identified through an analysis of student data; the teacher uses assessment data to identify student strengths and areas for student growth.</p>
	Evidence				

INSTRUCTIONAL PLANNING					
		Ineffective	Developing	Proficient	Accomplished
INSTRUCTIONAL PLANNING	<p><b>PRIOR CONTENT KNOWLEDGE / SEQUENCE / CONNECTIONS</b>  <b>(Standard 1: Students; Standard 2: Content; Standard 4: Instruction)</b></p> <p><i>Sources of Evidence:</i> Pre-Conference</p>	<p>The teacher’s lesson does not build on or connect to students’ prior knowledge, or the teacher may give an explanation that is illogical or inaccurate as to how the content connects to previous and future learning.</p>	<p>The teacher makes an attempt to connect the lesson to students’ prior knowledge, to previous lessons or future learning but is not completely successful.</p>	<p>The teacher makes clear and coherent connections with students’ prior knowledge and future learning—both explicitly to students and within the lesson.</p> <p>The teacher plans and sequences instruction to include the important content, concepts, and processes in school and district curriculum priorities and in state standards.</p>	<p>The teacher uses the input and contributions of families, colleagues, and other professionals in understanding each learner’s prior knowledge and supporting their development. The teacher makes meaningful and relevant connections between lesson content and other disciplines and real-world experiences and careers as well as prepares opportunities for students to apply learning from different content areas to solve problems.</p> <p>The teacher plans and sequences instruction that reflects an understanding of the prerequisite relationships among the important content, concepts, and processes in school and district curriculum priorities and in state standards as well as multiple pathways for learning depending on student needs. The teacher accurately explains how the lesson fits within the structure of the discipline.</p>
	Evidence				

INSTRUCTIONAL PLANNING					
INSTRUCTIONAL PLANNING	<p><b>KNOWLEDGE OF STUDENTS (Standard 1: Students)</b></p> <p><i>Sources of Evidence:</i> Analysis of Student Data Pre-Conference</p>	<p>The teacher demonstrates a lack of familiarity with students' backgrounds and has made no attempts to find this information.</p> <p>The teacher's plan for instruction does not demonstrate an understanding of students' development, preferred learning styles, and/or student backgrounds/prior experiences.</p>	<p>The teacher demonstrates some familiarity with students' background knowledge and experiences and describes one procedure used to obtain this information.</p> <p>The teacher's instructional plan draws upon a partial analysis of students' development, readiness for learning, preferred learning styles, or backgrounds and prior experiences and/or the plan is inappropriately tailored to the specific population of students in the classroom.</p>	<p>The teacher demonstrates familiarity with students' background knowledge and experiences and describes multiple procedures used to obtain this information.</p> <p>The teacher's instructional plan draws upon an accurate analysis of the students' development, readiness for learning, preferred learning styles, and backgrounds and prior experiences.</p>	<p>The teacher demonstrates an understanding of the purpose and value of learning about students' background experiences, demonstrates familiarity with each student's background knowledge and experiences, and describes multiple procedures used to obtain this information.</p> <p>The teacher's analysis of student data (student development, student learning and preferred learning styles, and student backgrounds/prior experiences) accurately connects the data to specific instructional strategies and plans.</p> <p>The teacher plans for and can articulate specific strategies, content, and delivery that will meet the needs of individual students and groups of students.</p>
	<p><b>Evidence</b></p>				

Instruction and Assessment					
		Ineffective	Developing	Proficient	Accomplished
INSTRUCTION AND ASSESSMENT	<p><b>LESSON DELIVERY</b> (Standard 2: Content; Standard 4: Instruction; Standard 6: Collaboration and Communication)</p> <p><i>Sources of Evidence:</i> Formal Observation Classroom Walkthroughs/ Informal Observations</p>	<p>A teacher's explanations are unclear, incoherent, or inaccurate, and are generally ineffective in building student understanding. The teacher uses language that fails to engage students, is inappropriate to the content, and/or discourages independent or creative thinking.</p> <p>The teacher fails to address student confusion or frustration and does not use effective questioning techniques during the lesson. The lesson is almost entirely teacher-directed.</p>	<p>Teacher explanations are accurate and generally clear but the teacher may not fully clarify information based on students' questions about content or instructions for learning activities or the teacher may use some language that is developmentally inappropriate, leading to confusion or limiting discussion.</p> <p>The teacher re-explains topics when students show confusion, but is not always able to provide an effective alternative explanation. The teacher attempts to employ purposeful questioning techniques, but may confuse students with the phrasing or timing of questions. The lesson is primarily teacher-directed.</p>	<p>Teacher explanations are clear and accurate. The teacher uses developmentally appropriate strategies and language designed to actively encourage independent, creative, and critical thinking.</p> <p>The teacher effectively addresses confusion by re-explaining topics when asked and ensuring understanding. The teacher employs effective, purposeful questioning techniques during instruction. The lesson is a balance of teacher-directed instruction and student-led learning.</p>	<p>Teacher explanations are clear, coherent, and precise. The teacher uses well-timed, individualized, developmentally appropriate strategies and language designed to actively encourage independent, creative, and critical thinking, including the appropriate use of questions and discussion techniques.</p> <p>The teacher accurately anticipates confusion by presenting information in multiple formats and clarifying content before students ask questions. The teacher develops high-level understanding through effective uses of varied levels of questions. The lesson is student-led, with the teacher in the role of facilitator.</p>
	Evidence				
	<p><b>DIFFERENTIATION</b> (Standard 1: Students; Standard 4: Instruction)</p> <p><i>Sources of Evidence:</i> Pre-Conference Formal Observation Classroom Walkthroughs/ Informal Observations</p>	<p>The teacher does not attempt to make the lesson accessible and challenging for most students, or attempts are developmentally inappropriate.</p>	<p>The teacher relies on a single strategy or alternate set of materials to make the lesson accessible to most students though some students may not be able to access certain parts of the lesson and/or some may not be challenged.</p>	<p>The teacher supports the learning needs of students through a variety of strategies, materials, and/or pacing that make learning accessible and challenging for the group.</p>	<p>The teacher matches strategies, materials, and/or pacing to students' individual needs, to make learning accessible and challenging for all students in the classroom. The teacher effectively uses independent, collaborative and whole-class instruction to support individual learning goals and provides varied options for how students will demonstrate mastery.</p>
	Evidence				

Instruction and Assessment					
		Ineffective	Developing	Proficient	Accomplished
	<p><b>RESOURCES</b> <b>(Standard 2: Content; Standard 4: Instruction)</b></p> <p><i>Sources of Evidence:</i> Pre-Conference Formal Observation Classroom Walkthroughs/ Informal Observations</p>	Instructional materials and resources used for instruction are not relevant to the lesson or are inappropriate for students.	The teacher uses appropriate instructional materials to support learning goals, but may not meet individual students' learning styles/needs or actively engage them in learning.	Instructional materials and resources are aligned to the instructional purposes and are appropriate for students' learning styles and needs, actively engaging students.	Instructional materials and resources are aligned to instructional purposes, are varied and appropriate to ability levels of students, and actively engage them in ownership of their learning.
<b>Evidence</b>					

Instruction and Assessment					
		Ineffective	Developing	Proficient	Accomplished
INSTRUCTION AND ASSESSMENT	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>CLASSROOM ENVIRONMENT</b> (Standard 1: Students; Standard 5: Learning Environment; Standard 6: Collaboration and Communication)</p> <p><i>Sources of Evidence:</i> Pre-Conference Formal Observation Classroom Walkthroughs/ Informal Observations</p>	<p>There is little or no evidence of a positive rapport between the teacher and students. For example, the teacher may respond disrespectfully to students or ignore their questions or comments.</p> <p>There are no evident routines or procedures; students seem unclear about what they should be doing or are idle.</p> <p>Transitions are inefficient with considerable instructional time lost. Lessons progress too slowly or quickly so students are frequently disengaged.</p> <p>The teacher creates a learning environment that allows for little or no communication or engagement with families.</p> <p>Expectations for behavior are not established or are inappropriate and/or no monitoring of behaviors occurs. The teacher responds to misbehavior inappropriately.</p>	<p>The teacher is fair in the treatment of students and establishes a basic rapport with them. For example, the teacher addresses students questions or comments but does not inquire about their overall well-being.</p> <p>Routines and procedures are in place, but the teacher may inappropriately prompt or direct students when they are unclear or idle.</p> <p>The teacher transitions between learning activities, but occasionally loses some instructional time in the process.</p> <p>The teacher welcomes communication from families and replies in a timely manner.</p> <p>Appropriate expectations for behavior are established, but some expectations are unclear or do not address the needs of individual students. The teacher inconsistently monitors behavior.</p>	<p>The teacher has positive rapport with students and demonstrates respect for and interest in all students. For example, the teacher makes eye contact and connects with individual students.</p> <p>Routines and procedures run smoothly throughout the lesson, and students assume age-appropriate levels of responsibility for the efficient operation of the classroom.</p> <p>Transitions are efficient and occur smoothly. There is evidence of varied learning situations (whole class, cooperative learning, small group and independent work).</p> <p>The teacher engages in two-way communication and offers a variety of volunteer opportunities and activities for families to support student learning.</p> <p>A classroom management system has been implemented that is appropriate and responsive to classroom and individual needs of students. Clear expectations for student behavior are evident. Monitoring of student behavior is consistent, appropriate, and effective.</p>	<p>The teacher has positive rapport with students and demonstrates respect for and interest in individual students' experiences, thoughts and opinions. For example, the teacher responds quietly, individually, and sensitively to student confusion or distress.</p> <p>Routines are well-established and orderly and students initiate responsibility for the efficient operation of the classroom.</p> <p>Transitions are seamless as the teacher effectively maximizes instructional time and combines independent, collaborative, and whole-class learning situations.</p> <p>The teacher engages in two-way, ongoing communication with families that results in active volunteer, community, and family partnerships which contribute to student learning and development.</p> <p>A classroom management system has been designed, implemented, and adjusted with student input and is appropriate for the classroom and individual student needs. Students are actively encouraged to take responsibility for their behavior. The teacher uses research-based strategies to lessen disruptive behaviors and reinforce positive behaviors.</p>
	<b>Evidence</b>				

Instruction and Assessment					
		Ineffective	Developing	Proficient	Accomplished
INSTRUCTION AND ASSESSMENT	<p align="center"><b>ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT LEARNING (Standard 3: Assessment)</b></p> <p align="center"><i>Sources of Evidence:</i> Pre-Conference Formal Observation Classroom Walkthroughs/ Informal Observations Post-Conference</p>	<p>The teacher does not routinely use assessments to measure student mastery.</p> <p>The teacher rarely or never checks the students' understanding of content. The teacher fails to make adjustments in response to student confusion.</p> <p>The teacher persists in using a particular strategy for responding to misunderstandings, even when data suggest the approach is not succeeding.</p> <p>The teacher does not provide students with feedback about their learning.</p>	<p>The teacher uses assessments to measure student mastery, but may not differentiate instruction based on this information.</p> <p>The teacher checks for student understanding and makes attempts to adjust instruction accordingly, but these adjustments may cause some additional confusion</p> <p>The teacher gathers and uses student data from a few sources to choose appropriate instructional strategies for groups of students.</p> <p>Students receive occasional or limited feedback about their performance from the teacher.</p>	<p>The teacher uses assessment data to identify students' strengths and needs, and modifies and differentiates instruction accordingly, although the teacher may not be able to anticipate learning obstacles.</p> <p>The teacher checks for understanding at key moments and makes adjustments to instruction (whole-class or individual students).The teacher responds to student misunderstandings by providing additional clarification.</p> <p>The teacher gathers and uses student data from a variety of sources to choose and implement appropriate instructional strategies for groups of students.</p> <p>The teacher provides substantive, specific, and timely feedback of student progress to students, families, and other school personnel while maintaining confidentiality.</p>	<p>The teacher uses assessment data to identify students' strengths and needs, and modifies and differentiates instruction accordingly, as well as examines classroom assessment results to reveal trends and patterns in individual and group progress and to anticipate learning obstacles.</p> <p>The teacher continually checks for understanding and makes adjustments accordingly (whole-class or individual students). When an explanation is not effectively leading students to understand the content, the teacher adjusts quickly and seamlessly within the lesson and uses an alternative way to explain the concept.</p> <p>By using student data from a variety of sources, the teacher appropriately adapts instructional methods and materials and paces learning activities to meet the needs of individual students as well as the whole class.</p> <p>The teacher provides substantive, specific, and timely feedback to students, families, and other school personnel while maintaining confidentiality. The teacher provides the opportunity for students to engage in self-assessment and show awareness of their own strengths and weaknesses. The teacher uses student assessment results to reflect on his or her own teaching and to monitor teaching strategies and behaviors in relation to student success.</p>
	<b>Evidence</b>				

Professionalism					
		Ineffective	Developing	Proficient	Accomplished
<b>PROFESSIONALISM</b>	<p><b>PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES</b>  <b>(Standard 6: Collaboration and Communication; Standard 7: Professional Responsibility and Growth)</b></p> <p><i>Sources of Evidence:</i>  Professional Development Plan or Improvement Plan;  Pre-conference;  Post-conference;  daily interaction with others</p>	<p>The teacher fails to communicate clearly with students and families or collaborate effectively with professional colleagues.</p> <p>The teacher fails to understand and follow regulations, policies, and agreements.</p> <p>The teacher fails to demonstrate evidence of an ability to accurately self-assess performance and to appropriately identify areas for professional development.</p>	<p>The teacher uses a variety of strategies to communicate with students and families and collaborate with colleagues, but these approaches may not always be appropriate for a particular situation or achieve the intended outcome.</p> <p>The teacher understands and follows district policies and state and federal regulations at a minimal level.</p> <p>The teacher identifies strengths and areas for growth to develop and implement targeted goals for professional growth.</p>	<p>The teacher uses effective communication strategies with students and families and works effectively with colleagues to examine problems of practice, analyze student work, and identify targeted strategies.</p> <p>The teacher meets ethical and professional responsibilities with integrity and honesty. The teacher models and upholds district policies and state and federal regulations.</p> <p>The teacher sets data-based short- and long-term professional goals and takes action to meet these goals.</p>	<p>The teacher communicates effectively with students, families, and colleagues. The teacher collaborates with colleagues to improve personal and team practices by facilitating professional dialogue, peer observation and feedback, peer coaching and other collegial learning activities.</p> <p>The teacher meets ethical and professional responsibilities and helps colleagues access and interpret laws and policies and understand their implications in the classroom.</p> <p>The teacher sets and regularly modifies short-and long-term professional goals based on self-assessment and analysis of student learning evidence.</p>
	<b>Evidence</b>				